

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,541	05/26/2006	Jun-Keun Chang	CHANG220	3244
1444 7590 03/H/2010 BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
624 NINTH ST	624 NINTH STREET, NW SAKELARIS, SALLY		S, SALLY A	
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/580,541	CHANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SALLY A. SAKELARIS	1797	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 ToFR 4.1.3.1; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods:
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension that have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set torth in (b) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any aemed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since it Notice of Appeal has been filed, any rephy must be filed within the time good set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(b).
AMENDMENTS
 3.
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) \ will be entered and an explanation of

Claim(s) allowed: n/a.

Claim(s) objected to: n/a

Claim(s) rejected: 13-34.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-12 and 35.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

/Jill Warden/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The after final amendment filed on 3/2/2010 has been acknowledged. In this amendment, Applicant proposes to amend claims 13, 18, and 25. This amendment raises new issues in its recitation of claim 1 wherein each of the micro-filters comprises at least two filter parts located serially with each other, each filter part having plural filter poles arranged not to pass an agglutinated blood mixture, the space interval between the filter poles in the filter part closer to the reagent storage chamber being wider than that between the filter poles in the filter part storage chamber. Examination of these new structure requirements in the claims requires further consideration and/or search because of the different scope of the amended claims. Therefore, because of arguments of record, the rejections are herein maintained.